

Public Safety Coordinating Council

October 18, 2022 Meeting Minutes

**Council Members (or designee) in Attendance:**

Judge Augustus Aikens	Judiciary
Jack Campbell	State Attorney's Office
Brylan Jacobs	Florida Department of Corrections
Jessica Yeary	Public Defender
Steve Harrelson	Leon County Sheriff's Office
Rebecca Kelly-Manders	REfire Culinary Program
Anne Meisenzahl	Big Bend AFTER Reentry Coalition
Teresa Broxton	Intervention and Detention Alternatives
Nancy O'Farrell	NAMI – Tallahassee Chapter
Paul Knoll	Capital Regional Medical Center

**Also, in attendance:**

Kendra Brown	Court Administration
Amanda Chandler	Apalachee Center, Inc.
Kevin Warren	We are All We Need, Inc.
Denisha Williams	We are All We Need, Inc.

The meeting was called to order at 4:00 PM

Issues Discussed

I. Approval of the September 27, 2022 Meeting Minutes

Public Defender Yeary motioned, seconded by Anne Meisenzahl to approve the September 27, 2022 meeting minutes. The Council voted unanimously in support.

II. LCDF Population Update – Asst. Sheriff Harrelson, Leon County Sheriff's Office

Ms. Broxton shared that staff from IDA, Court Administration, and the Sheriff's Office were working on an automated process to compile and present data for the monthly PSCC updates and created a mock dashboard which could be amended based on the Council's request for specific data. Public Defender Yeary inquired of who would have access to the dashboard and Ms. Broxton advised it would be the PSCC membership.

Asst. Sheriff Harrelson provided the monthly update on the Detention Facility, 1,071 inmates which is 28 fewer than the September meeting. The average days in custody is 246. Summary of charge types in custody:

- VOP only charges 105
- Murder Charges 123 – increase of 2 from previous month
- Sex Offenses 31 – decrease of 2 from previous month
- Robbery 69 – increase of 4 from previous month
- Theft 76 – decrease of 5 from previous month
- Property Felony 13 – increase of 1 from previous month
- Felony Drug Offenses 216 decrease of 8 from previous month
- Burglary Charges 74 – increase of 6 from previous month
- Other Violent Felonies 212 – decrease of 6 from previous month
- Other Felonies 263 – decrease of 4 from previous month

Currently have 401 or 34% individuals in custody who are prescribed psychotropic medications; 45% has been diagnosed with some type of mental health disorder.

Vice Chair Kelly-Manders inquired of any changes to the number of individuals in custody who have a set bond amount from the previous month (19 individuals charged with misdemeanors had bonds of \$5,000 or less and 86 individuals with felonies had bonds of \$10,000 or less). Asst. Sheriff Harrelson advised that the data was not available due to a system issue.

Ms. Meisenzahl inquired of the number of juveniles in custody, Asst. Sheriff Harrelson reported there were a total of 6 with 2 in the Leon County Detention Facility, 1 being transferred to the Jefferson County Jail, and 1 returning to LCDF.

Vice Chair Kelly-Manders inquired of the number of individuals charged with misdemeanors in custody. Ms. Broxton advised there were 45 pretrial (unsentenced) and 3 sentenced individuals in custody.

III. Forensic Intervention Reentry Services Team (FIRST) Program Quarterly Report

Ms. Amanda Chandler - Director of Residential and Forensic Services with Apalachee Center, Inc. presented the quarterly report of activities for the FIRST Program. Ms. Chandler summarized the main performance measures of the Program is reducing recidivism through assisting clients with

housing, attaining benefits and employment, and diverting individuals from state mental health facilities.

Mr. Chandler provided an overview of the quarterly report to the Committee. The full quarterly report is available as Attachment #1.

Public Defender Yeary inquired of an individual's eligibility to participate in the FIRST Program. Ms. Chandler advised that anyone is eligible for Apalachee Center Services but participation in the FIRST Program is for individuals who are on court-ordered community supervision (pretrial release, probation, conditional release) as an alternative to incarceration.

IV. We Are All We Need Inc. Program Quarterly Report – Kevin Warren, Founder and CEO

Mr. Warren presented the first quarterly report submitted to the Department of Children and Families. Mr. Warren shared that based on the program's performance during the first-year pilot phase they were able to expand their Village of Care services. Village of Care is a prevention eco system designed to reduce entry and reentry into the juvenile justice system by providing youth age 17 and under at risk or involved in the juvenile justice system and identified with mental, behavioral, or cooccurring health needs, or those exhibiting high-risk behaviors the tools necessary to live healthy lives and become successful citizens in our community through evidenced based best practices and an array of therapeutic and comprehensive services.

Mr. Warren shared the top three goals for the next three years are:

- Design and implement a system to bridge gaps and remove barriers.
- Create and encourage an environment of collaboration and positive support among key stakeholders.
- Increase access to intervention and prevention coordinated services.
  - Expand funding eligibility for youth and families to receive services prior to a negative event occurring such as an arrest or Baker Act.

Mr. Warren discussed several of the barriers that the Program experienced in the first year including the referral process from the Public Defender's Office and the State Attorney's Office which is a significant component of the referral pipeline which is intentionally and strategically not being pushed at this time. The delay in the MOU resulted in a delay in the execution of the Tier 3 services. The clinical services will be supported by with FAMU's Center for Ethnic Psychological Research and Application (CEPRA) was recently approved for signatures. Once executed the Program will finalize MOUs with the Public Defender's Office and State Attorney's Office to phase in Tier 3 enrollees focusing on prevention of arrest, baker act admissions, and not only recidivism based on early indicators for risk.

Mr. Warren provided an overview of the quarterly report to the Committee. The full quarterly report is available as Attachment #2.

Ms. Meisenzahl inquired of the relationship between the WAAWN Program and the Council on the Status of Men and Boys. Mr. Warren advised that the Program's community provider networks are in the schools and the Program will identify deliverables to pull in various services.

Judge Aikens asked what data the Program was looking at to reduce the number of Baker Act admissions among youth. Mr. Warren shared that they are looking at early screening through their life groups on campus and are recognizing that a lot of the Baker Acts are not due to mental illness but lack of social emotional capabilities. He said they are still looking at the main barriers and

problems by building rapport with students to identify gaps and underlying issues inside of the schools.

Vice Chair Kelly-Manders inquired what the PSCC can do to support the WAAWN Program. Mr. Warren advised is through communication or public relations such as share professional development training, community-based referrals, online referral network available through QR code.

State Attorney Campbell inquired if the Program was ready for direct referrals as we often encounter children who are impacted by adult family members involved in the criminal justice system. Mr. Warren advised they have found it is better if the referring individual introduces the Program to the individual being referred so they are not receiving a call without any advance knowledge of the referral. Mr. Warren advised the referral process is available online and will provide the QR code to the council.

V. Court Administration Reports – Kendra Brown, Criminal Court Manager

Veterans Treatment Court

There are currently 43 participants currently enrolled in the program. The CCMU staff is tracking 15 individuals in the LCDF for varies reasons but are receiving veterans' benefits. Ms. Brown announced the next VTC graduation is scheduled for December 19, 2022 and invited all Council members to attend.

Mental Health Court

Currently, there are a total of 26 participants on the Misdemeanor Mental Health Docket. In addition, the CMMU staff is tracking 218 throughout the criminal justice system. One of the biggest issues is with competency evaluations. Ms. Brown advised when the evaluation process slows down it impacts the entire case process. She further explained that due to COVID several providers retired, and they are learning that this is not a field that people are attracted to and they are trying to recruit providers throughout the state to expand the number of providers in the rotation to complete evaluations but did not receive any responses. In the interim, the Courts have adopted a system of scheduling providers to come into the Court house and conduct multiple evaluations on the same day. They have reduced the number of evaluations from 104 to 86 and will continue to efforts to resolve.

State Attorney Campbell commented on the Administrative Order establishing the maximum amount for an expert at \$150/hourly and inquired if we need to look at increasing the rate. Ms. Brown advised that Court Administration is currently reviewing and developing a proposal for OSCA to revisit the fee limitations and ability to providers to conduct evaluations remotely.

Ms. Brown advised there are currently 10 people in custody at the LCDF waiting to go to Florida State Hospital which is down from 30. The average wait time is down to approximately 36 days from 2 to 3 months based on collaborative efforts with FSH.

Judge Aikens inquired of the number of experts we have in the rotation. Ms. Brown advised we have a total of 11 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit and one of those is conducts evaluations exclusively for juveniles.

Felony Drug Court

There are currently 40 participants in Felony Drug Court. Two of the participants are currently in the LCDF. Felony Drug Court recently entered into a contract DISC Village to establish a circuit

wide Felony Drug Court which will be implemented in phases. Eligibility for FDC is per statute, referral process goes through the State Attorney's Office. Public Defender Yearly added that in circumstances where the recommendation is opposed, her office can file a motion to have the Judge make a final determination.

Detention Review

Ms. Brown referenced the ongoing effort with the OIDA, LCSO, and Court Administration to combine the reports to provide a more complete and comprehensive evaluation of what is occurring with detention facility population as it relates to activities in the detention facility, probation/pretrial, and the courts.

VI. Electronic Monitoring Reports – Teresa Broxton, IDA Director

Ms. Broxton shared that we began the month of September with 182 defendants on electronic monitoring. There were 29 defendants ordered to GPS and 8 to SCRAM; 20 defendants were released from custody and enrolled on GPS and 7 on SCRAM monitors. As of September 30th, there were 143 defendants on GPS and 42 defendants on SCRAM. During the month of September, a total of 26 cases were disposed; of those, 13 (76%) closed successfully and 4 (24%) closed unsuccessfully.

VII. Other Business

Ms. Broxton presented the tentative 2023 PSCC Meeting Calendar to the Council for approval. State Attorney Campbell motioned, seconded by Assistant Sheriff Harrelson to approve the meeting calendar. The Council voted unanimously to approve the meeting calendar.

Vice Chair Kelly-Manders commented on the objective of the PSCC is to look for ways to reduce the detention facility population which has consistently been around 1,200 for the past four meetings. She challenged the Council to think outside the box to reduce the population in the detention facility while keeping the community safe whether that is through more people on electronic monitoring or other measures.

Assist Sherriff Harrelson motioned to adjourn; seconded by State Attorney Jack Campbell.  
Meeting adjourned at 4:53 PM

Next Meeting: *Tuesday, December 6, 2022*  
*4:00 PM*  
*Commission Chambers, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Leon County Courthouse*

Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Report						
Program Year 2 (Y2Q4)						
Performance Measures	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Total Lifetime-to-Date
	07/01/21 - 09/30/21	10/01/21 - 12/31/21	01/01/22 - 03/31/22	04/01/22 - 06/30/22		
<b>E-1.1. Performance measure target. Percent who are arrested while enrolled.</b>					35%	
					23%	34%
The total number of Program participants enrolled in the Program <i>(during the quarter)</i> .	32	45	33	29	139.00	217.00
The total number of Program participants who were arrested while receiving Program services.	13	19	13	16	32.00	74.00
<b>E-1.2. Performance measure target. Percent who are arrested within the 1-year following Program discharge.</b>					35%	
					29%	#VALUE!
The total number of all Program participants who successfully completed Program services one year ago.	10	17	14	7	48.00	#VALUE!
The total number of Program participants arrested within one year following discharge.	5	4	3	2	14.00	#VALUE!
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect arrest data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting this data :</i>						

Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Report						
Program Year 2 (Y2Q4)						
Performance Measures	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Total Lifetime-to-Date
	07/01/21 - 09/30/21	10/01/21 - 12/31/21	01/01/22 - 03/31/22	04/01/22 - 06/30/22		
<b>E-1.3. Performance measure target: Percent who do not reside in a stable housing environment at admission who reside in a stable housing environment within 90 days of admission.</b>					60%	
					69%	62%
The total number of Program participants not residing in a stable housing environment at Program admission.	3	2	5	6	16.00	29.00
The total number of Program participants not residing in a stable housing environment at Program admission who live in a stable housing environment within 90 days of Program admission.	2	1	3	5	11.00	18.00
<b>E-1.4. Performance measure target: Percent who reside in a stable housing environment 1-year following their Program discharge.</b>					35%	
					67%	#VALUE!
The total number of Program participants not residing in stable housing at Program admission.	7	2	4	2	15.00	#VALUE!
The total number of Program participants not residing in a stable housing environment at Program admission who live in stable housing one year following Program discharge.	5	2	1	2	10.00	#VALUE!
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect housing data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting this data during this quarter : For measure Unable to contact a majority of the clients at one year post discharge therefore housing status is unknown.</i>						

Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Report						
Program Year 2 (Y2Q4)						
Performance Measures	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Total Lifetime-to-Date
	07/01/21 - 09/30/21	10/01/21 - 12/31/21	01/01/22 - 03/31/22	04/01/22 - 06/30/22		
<b>E.T.O. Performance Measure Target:</b> Percent not employed and eligible for employment at admission who are employed full or part-time within 180 days of admission.					35%	
					80%	86%
Total number of Program participants not employed and eligible for employment at Program admission.	3	8	3	1	15.00	21.00
Total number of all Program participants not employed and eligible for employment at Program admission who are employed full or part-time within 180 days of Program admission.	3	3	2	4	12.00	18.00
<b>E.T.O. Performance Measure Target:</b> Percent who are eligible for employment and employed full or part-time one year following Program discharge.					20%	
					43%	43%
The total number of Program participants discharged.	10	3	4	4	21.00	21.00
The total number of Program participants eligible for employment who are employed full or part-time within one year following Program discharge	NA	2	6	1	9.00	9.00
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect employment data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting data during this quarter:</i> The total number includes those who have successfully discharged and were eligible for employment at the time of admission. Unable to contact a majority of the clients at one year post discharge therefore employment status is unknown for some who were eligible.						



### Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Report

#### Program Year 2 (Y2Q4)

	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		
Performance Measures	07/01/21 - 09/30/21	10/01/21 - 12/31/21	01/01/22 - 03/31/22	04/01/22 - 06/30/22	Total	Total Lifetime-to-Date
<b>E.1.7. Performance Measure Target:</b> Percent assisted by the Grantee (or sub-grantee) in applying for social security or other benefits for which they are eligible but not receiving at admission.					80%	
					88%	88%
Total number of Program participants who were eligible for, but not receiving, social security or other benefits at Program admission.	21	20	20	19	80.00	113.00
Total number of Program participants who were eligible for, but not receiving, social security or other benefits at Program admission who are assisted with applying for such benefits.	15	16	20	19	70.00	100.00
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect benefit data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting this data during this quarter :</i>						
<b>E.1.8. Performance Measure Target:</b> Percent diverted from commitment to a state mental health treatment facility.					30%	
					4%	3%
Total number of Program participants enrolled in the Program.	32	45	33	29	139.00	245.00
Total number of all Program participants who were not committed to a State Mental Health Treatment Facility.	30	43	32	28	133.00	238.00
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect commitment data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting this data during this quarter :</i>						
<b>E.1.9. Performance Measure Target:</b> Percent of individuals assessed are satisfied with services provided.					90%	
					0%	0%
Total number of Program participants assessed are satisfied with services provided.	15	25	23	22	85.00	236.00
Total number of all Program participants not satisfied with services provided.	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00
<i>If necessary, please explain the methodology used to collect commitment data, including the source of the data, who collects/reports the data, and any peculiarities and/or barriers to reporting this data during this quarter :</i>						

## Section 1. Detailed Progress Summary

1. Provide an overview of your organization's CJMHSA Reinvestment grant-funded program.

- a. The Village System of Care is a prevention ecosystem designed to reduce entry and reentry into the juvenile justice system, by providing youth, age 17 and under, at-risk of, or involved in, the juvenile justice system and identified with mental, behavioral or co-occurring health needs, or those exhibiting high risk behaviors, the tools and skills necessary to live healthy lives, and be successful citizens within the community; through evidence-based practices, an array of therapeutic and comprehensive services. The VoC offers program participants up to 10 months of tier-based services via various points of inception for those in need of effective social and emotional learning skills and culturally competent mental health community-based treatment; in efforts to stabilize, rehabilitate and refocus at-risk youth in high poverty communities.

2. Provide a detailed report of the goals, objectives, services tasks and activities performed during the reporting period.

- a) For each reporting period, provide a detailed description of program achievements to-date and progress towards meeting goals and objectives identified in **Section B-2** .

a. Quarter 1

- i. Goal #1: 2.1 Develop and implement a design to bridge gaps, remove barriers and provide consistent social and emotional trauma care provide supports and resources to youth and families as described in the Grantee's application and Addendum.

1. 11 of 17 tasks Successfully Completed
2. 4 of 17 tasks in progress w/ adjusted completion date
3. 3 of 17 tasks we not completed and adjusted or modified date/task.

- ii. Create and encourage an environment of collaboration and positive supports among key stakeholders identified in the Grantees Application ad Addendum

1. 9 of 12 tasks Successfully Completed
2. 2 of 12 task in progress, no adjustment
3. 1 of 12 tasks did not complete, modified task

- iii. Increase access to prevention and intervention coordinated services, provide individualized group supports and resources for the target population and to fulfill outcome measures as presented in this agreement.

1. 3 of 5 tasks Successfully Completed
2. 2 of 5 tasks In Progress, no adjustment

- b) For each reporting period, provide a detailed description of each task and associated activities delineated in Section C-1 of the grant agreement. For each task identified, include the completion date, or anticipated date of completion, and discuss any adjustments to timelines outlined in the original grant application.

- a. Quarter 1
  - i. C-1.1: Establish legally binding agreements: Anticipated completed date of all core MOU's
    - 1. Lead Mental Health Provider: September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022
      - a. TK Center for Healing
      - b. DISC Village
      - c. Apalachee Center
    - ii. C-1.1.2: 5/15/2022: Implementation of Apricot360 began
    - iii. C-1.1.3: 5//1/2022: Signed MOU with The LIFE Center, Inc. to establish The village of care and community safe space.
    - iv. C-1.1.4: 7/1/2022 began HRBII LIFE Group Sessions bi-weekly
    - v. C1.2.1: Monthly update reports submitted to PSCC
    - vi. C1.2.2: Monthly VAC meetings; adjusted timeline for Signing MOU's that directly impact onboarding for general (non system referred) tier 2 & tier 3 youth; Amend date to complete in September.
    - vii. C1.2.3: Monthly; attached in Source Documentation
    - viii. C1.2.4: Anticipated completion Date 8/31/2022
    - ix. C1.3.1: 6/1/2022 implemented Flourishing Assessment & ACE's assessment
    - x. C1.3.2: 6/1/2022 opened The LIFE Center for drop in center activities
    - xi. C1.3.3: 7/1/2022 Started HBRII support groups monthly for youth to support other youth.
- c) List your program's MOUs or other legally binding agreements that were executed for this program (indicate the parties, when it was executed, purpose) and add to this list each reporting period as appropriate.
  - a. The LIFE Center, Inc.
  - b. The Sister, Inc.
  - c. Born2Be A Man, Inc.
  - d. Steans Data, LLC.
- d) List your program's partners and collaborators (add to the list each reporting period as new collaborators emerge).
  - a. Girls 2 Divas Mentoring
  - b. Keyholder, LLC.
  - c. Mikaya Dionne Enterprises
  - d. Tallahassee Memorial HealthCare
  - e. FSU-Criminology

- f. DASH Success Homes
3. Provide a detailed summary of the achievements to-date in meeting the Administrative Tasks identified in **Section C-2** of the Grant Agreement. For all subcontractor(s) identified in **Section C-2.3**, provide an electronic copy of the executed subcontract(s).
    - a. WAAWN has successfully staffed Program Director, Program Assistant, and Intake Specialist.
      - i. Program Director: Jonathan Goodwin
      - ii. Program Assistant: Stephanie Warren
      - iii. Intake/Transition Specialist: Sisters, Inc (Stephanie Tolbert)
    - b. WAAWN has made great progress signing subcontractors and has made notes and adjustments as follows:
      - i. Signed:
        1. The LIFE Center, Inc.
        2. The Sisters, Inc.
        3. Born 2 Be A Man, Inc.
        4. Steans Data, LLC
      - ii. Awaiting Signature
        1. Apalahachee Center – Coordinating Medicaid billable peer services model.
        2. DISC Village – Coordinating Civil Citation referral process
        3. State Attorney’s Office – Working with PDO to develop agreeable release terms
        4. Public Defenders Office – Working with SAO to develop agreeable release terms
        5. Legal Aid – Executive Director on health leave
      - iii. Recover Restart Refresh, Inc – Will not pursue subcontract and has begun establishing collaboration with FSU phycology & sociology to develop intern pipeline for graduate level MSW or LCSW.
4. Describe any barriers or challenges faced by your program during this reporting period and the strategies implemented to address them. Also, describe significant barriers that remain, if any.
    - a. Barriers
      - i. Grant Execution/Timing: The delay in finalizing all grant approvals caused many delays in implementation and execution, some significant and some minor but all had a collective impact on this months performance.
        1. Minor Barriers:
          - a. Staffing Delays: Clinical Service Director was planned contracted under RRR, Inc. services; adjustment contracted

Intake specialist to begin onboarding and contract MSW to provide clinical services as soon as possible; no later than September 1<sup>st</sup> 2022.

2. Major Barriers:

- a. Strong recommendation to withdraw MOU to planned Lead Clinical Service Provider, RRR, Inc.
  - i. Significantly delayed TVOC ability to provide tier 2 & tier 3 required screens prior to enrollment if youth had no prior mental health or substance use screen completed/included on their referral. RRR, Inc. was set to receive all youth that scored “into need” based on initial Flourishing, ACE’s assessment. This caused a delay in completing ILP’s for community referred Tier 2 & Tier 3.
  - ii. Working to onboard MH Agency that has the capacity to provide screens & assessments within current Service Level Agreements and approved budget.
- b. Recruitment & Enrollment; based on contract start date schools were already in the final days of testing and release; not allowing for the initial influx of referrals that would sustain q1 performance numbers.
  - i. A big component of the program enrollment relies on the ability to leverage the schools to provide access/recruitment. Since school was over by the time the contract was fully executed, onboarding & recruitment numbers were more community based.
- c. Delays in MOU & Agency Discrepancies delayed Execution of core referral pipelines – SAO & PDO
  - i. Both State Attorney & Public Defender have a mutual interest in the benefits of The Village of Care program; currently working to satisfy these concerns prior to execution
    1. Mutually acceptable Release terms, conditions and liabilities.
    2. Privacy and Use of Information

3. COVID & Illness Related Delays:

- a. Executive Signatures
  - i. MOU Signatures delayed based on COVID or Other Serious Illness
    1. Legal Aid of North Florida
    2. State Attorneys Office & Public Defenders (Youth Division – ASA Eric Trombley)
    3. Disc Village & Apalachee Center

5. List evidence-based, best, and promising practices used in your program including assessment or screening instruments and tools.
  - a. Flourishing Assessment
  - b. Wellness Recovery Action Planning
  - c. Building Communities Resilient (BCR)
  - d. \*Working with FSU to implement adjusted CAT Screen to extrapolate behavior health need and remove risk of re-offense due to non-arrest.
6. Describe the composition of your Planning Council membership, in compliance with F.S. 394.657(2) (a), and complete Appendix A, located at the end of this document. **(Note: this form must be updated when there is a change in Planning Council members).**
  - a) Describe any difficulties you have had in filling these membership positions
  - b) Describe the activities of the Planning Council, such as meeting frequency and types of collaboration efforts.
    - a. Meeting Frequency: Monthly
    - b. Collaboration Efforts: There has been a renewed spirit of collaboration amongst the council and the VAC and it's members. Specifically, after the first PSCC meeting, we were contacted to schedule follow up meetings with
      - i. Refire
      - ii. NAMI
      - iii. Commissioner Proctor - Promise Zone Initiative
      - iv. BBARC
  - c) Provide the date that your planning council met during this reporting period and brief description of the agenda.
    - a. May 17<sup>th</sup>: Review of arrest data
    - b. June 28<sup>th</sup> – Meeting canceled lack of attendance to bring decorum
    - c. July – No Meeting Scheduled (Planned annual month off)
    - d. August 16<sup>th</sup>; Sept 27<sup>th</sup>; Oct 18; Nov & Dec combine on Dec 6th

## Section 2. Deliverables

1. Provide a detailed summary of the progress achieved in meeting the deliverable requirements outlined in **Exhibit D** of the Grant Agreement.
  - a. Performance Quarter 1 – Year 1 5/1-7/31
    - i. D-1: Service Units: 0 completed:
      1. Based on contract start date and villager enrollment, there has been 0 villagers enrolled for 90 days;
    - ii. D-2.1 # of Persons Screened: 68
    - iii. D-2.2 # of Tier 1 Participants: 37
    - iv. D-2.3 # of Tier 2 Participants: 15

- v. D-2.4 # of Tier 3 Participants: 12
  - vi. D-2.5 # of SELTIC Training Participants: 8
2. Using the Performance Measures for Acceptance of Deliverables in **Section D-4.** of the grant agreement, complete the Service Summary Tables below.

### Service Summary Tables

PROGRAM YEAR 1										
Service Target	YR1 Annual Program Target	Program Lifetime Target	Number of Participants Served							
			1 <sup>st</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	Program YR1 Total to Date	% of Program YR1 Target Achieved to Date	Program Lifetime Total to Date	% of Lifetime Target Achieved to Date
			<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	7/31/2022	7/31/2022	7/31/2022	7/31/2022
D-2.1 # of persons Screened)	250	750	68	XX	XX	XX	68	27	68	9
D-2.2 # of Tier 1 Participants	150	450	37	XX	XX	XX	37	24	37	8
D-2.3 # of Tier 2 Participants	60	180	15	XX	XX	XX	15	25	15	8
D-2.4 # of Tier 3 Participants	40	120	12	XX	XX	XX	12	30	12	10
D-2.5 # of SELTIC Training Participants	50	150	8	XX	XX	XX	8	16	8	5





PROGRAM YEAR 2										
Service Target	YR1 Annual Program Target	Program Lifetime Target	Number of Participants Served							
			1 <sup>st</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	Program YR1 Total to Date	% of Program YR1 Target Achieved to Date	Program Lifetime Total to Date	% of Lifetime Target Achieved to Date
			<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>
D-2.1 # of persons Screened)	250	750	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.2 # of Tier 1 Participants	150	450	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.3 # of Tier 2 Participants	60	180	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.4 # of Tier 3 Participants	40	120	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.5 # of SELTIC Training Participants	50	150	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX

PROGRAM YEAR 3										
Service Target	YR1 Annual Program Target	Program Lifetime Target	Number of Participants Served							
			1 <sup>st</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarterly Reporting Period	Program YR1 Total to Date	% of Program YR1 Target Achieved to Date	Program Lifetime Total to Date	% of Lifetime Target Achieved to Date
			<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Quarterly Reporting Period Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>	<Insert Dates>
D-2.1 # of persons Screened)	250	750	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.2 # of Tier 1 Participants	150	450	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.3 # of Tier 2 Participants	60	180	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.4 # of Tier 3 Participants	40	120	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
D-2.5 # of SELTIC Training Participants	50	150	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX

### Section 3. Performance Measures and Outcomes

1. Describe the methodology(ies) used to collect data and track progress on admissions, completions, discharge, assessments, services received, and outcomes of individuals being served. Reportable outcomes include but are not limited to arrests, rearrests, services, program completion, receipt of benefits, employment, housing, etc. Data anomalies and additional methodology information can be noted directly into the Excel spreadsheet, Appendix B, Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Data Table.
  - a. Attached: The Village of Care Reporting Methodologies (DCF-LH836)
2. Complete the Reinvestment Grant Performance Measure Data Table (Appendix B).
  - a. Completed – Q1: ATTACHED QUARTELY PERFORMANCE REPORT
3. Using the Performance Evaluation Methodology in **Section E-2.** of the grant agreement, provide the actual percentage for the Performance Measures specified in **Section E-1.** In addition, provide the actual numbers used in the Performance Evaluation Methodology to derive at the performance measure percentages.
  - a. Q1
    - i. E1.1: 0% arrested; 39 submitted; 1 arrested (arrested prior to service ILP & enrollment)
    - ii. E1.2: 0% determined eligible q1 of those onboarded
    - iii. E1.3: 0% completed due to q1 report date is prior to any service discharge date.
    - iv. E1.4: 100% of current enrollees are housed; establishing data measures to determine unique housing definitions (stable housing)
    - v. E1.5: 100% of enrolled participants successfully completed ; 8 of 8

### Section 4. Technical Assistance

Explain what collaboration, if any, you have had with the Florida Mental Health Institute's Technical Assistance Center (TAC). In accordance with **Section C-2.4,** Technical Assistance Requirements, of the Grant Agreement, provide a summary and documentation, if applicable, demonstrating that the following requirements were met:

1. Primary contact information for the Grantee and each of its subcontracted or subgrant award partners was provided to the TAC within 10 business days after execution of the Grant Agreement;
  - a. Completed – Kevin Warren
2. Participation in one annual county level technical assistance needs assessments conducted by the TAC and list the three priority areas that you identified in the in the survey.
  - a. Completed internally & among program leadership team
  - b. Will officially complete TAC survey during next VAC Meeting; scheduled Tuesday, August 23<sup>rd</sup>
3. Participation in one annual on-site technical assistance visit conducted by the TAC and submit a sign in-sheet for all participating individuals
  - a. Will Schedule post survey review
4. Participation in quarterly program-wide conference calls scheduled by the TAC for all Grantees under the Criminal Justice, Mental Health and Substance Abuse Reinvestment Grant Program. Submit a list of individuals who participated in the quarterly conference call; and
  - a. Will be attending
5. Provided program reports and summary service data as requested by the TAC.

- a. Will be completing once Survey Review is completed.

### Section 5. Sustainability

Describe actions and/or steps taken that will lead to sustainability upon the completion of the grant funding.

- In quarter 1, TVOC has
  - o Established working collaboration with FSU criminology which will provide increase grant opportunities in research and development
  - o Established collaboration with Leon County CSC & Newly allocated \$5M Promise Zone funding to reduce gun violence over the next 5 years.
  - o Met with Shannon Baker of DJJ Foundation to discuss collaboration & support for upcoming strategic initiatives.
  - o Established MOU with LIFE Group, LLC to work within Leon County High Schools as an assessment, recruitment and referral pipeline into The Village Of Care.

### Section 6. Additional Information

1. Describe the effect the grant-funded initiatives have had on meeting the needs of adults and/or juveniles who have a mental illness, substance abuse disorder, or co-occurring disorder and include a discussion of the following:

- a) The impact of the grant-funded program on expenditures of the jail, juvenile detention center, and prison (e.g., decreased numbers, fiscal estimates);
  - a. Transformative Justice
    - i. TVOC has already made positive impact in the areas of meeting the needs of The SAO and PDO to collaborate in an effort to have a more restorative justice vs punitive justice approach for juveniles exhibiting high risk behaviors, mental illness or substance abuse. Specifically utilizing "The Anatomy of Homicide" national report by the LCSO to recruit Providers who are equipped to serve those the report named as most at risk of being victims or perpetrators of gun violence. Working directly with the SAO and PDO on
      - 1. Trauma Informed Probation
      - 2. Working to provide mutually beneficial alternative release measures that both SAO and PDO can support.
      - 3. TVOC has began building relationship with FSU criminology to extrapolate Behavioral Health Needs from CAT screen in an attempt to target youth behavior health need vs risk to reoffend.
- b) The impact of the grant-funded program on the reduction of forensic commitments to state mental health treatment hospitals or children's state hospitals/treatment centers (if population served includes juveniles); and
  - a. Reduce Baker Act admission into state facilities
    - i. We are currently seeking to measure this impact by focusing on reducing the disproportionality and overall number of minority youth
      - 1. Baker Acted
      - 2. State Behavioral Health Treatment Programs

- c) The impact the grant-funded program has had on the number and type of individuals detained (detention and/or jail) and incarcerated (prison) (e.g., change in numbers detained, change in types of charges of detainees).

- a. Reduce Arrest & Recidivism

- i. We are currently seeking to measure this impact by focusing on impacting the disproportionality and overall number of minority youth
  - 1. Arrested & Re-Arrested
  - 2. In secure detention
  - 3. Secure Released

- 2. Describe the impact the grant-funded program has had on the availability and accessibility of effective community-based services.

- a. Community Safety:

- i. In Q1, according to one parent our greatest impact was our LIFE Center community safe space and drop in center which allowed youth 17 and under to have a safe place to “be” during daytime and nighttime hours. Providing a “village clubhouse” as described by youth, has proven to impact community safety and wellbeing. One parent said, “I had no way of keeping my son off the street this summer as I work 3 jobs.” Proving the direct impact of The Village System of Care has even as many of the service array are still being implemented. By providing a community safe space that offers culturally competent and comfortable environment we were able to eliminate arrest for those enrolled/served youth.

- b. Increased Community Assessments

- i. In Q1, achieving our top goal of increasing the amount of screening opportunities before negative service triggers such as arrest or baker act; we were able to successfully provide screening to over 75 individuals and currently have completely and successfully onboarded 37. Many of those screened may have scored into further assessment and screening needs that may be contingent on more mental health provider MOU's being signed and onboarded into the village provider network.

- 3. Describe the impact that your local matching funds has had on meeting and furthering the goals and objectives of your CJMHSA Reinvestment grant program (level of additional services and capacity served as a result of local matching funds).

- a. Community Programming, Marketing & Education

- i. In Q1, our match dollars allowed the program to launch with a coordinated visible approach. Equipment, events, training workshops and summer programming allowed for over 115 community members to be served during this period (eligible and non-eligible for enrollment, all qualified service recipients based on program community impact efforts. Without these match funds, performance measures would not have been met.

## Section 7. Source Documentation

Attach an appendix of the source documentation, described in **Section C-2.6** of the Grant Agreement, documenting the tasks and associated activities performed during the report period. Label the source documentation to easily identify the related task and activity.